

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

(stamp)

MARTIN, Jean-Jacques Cabinet Regimbeau 20, rue de Chazelles F-75847 Paris cedex 17 **FRANCE**



NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 26.08.2004 Applicant's or agent's file reference IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION 345285/D20676 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/FR 03/03053 16.10.2003 16.10.2002 PIERRE FABRE MEDICAMENT et al.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the International preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The Applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purpose of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purpose of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed invention is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

Authorized officer:



European Patent-Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0, Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Ullrich, J

Tel. +49 89 2399-8048

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or Agent's file reference				FOR FURTHER ACTION	_	on of Transmittal of International Preliminary Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
	rnational a T/FR 03/03		ion No.	International filing date 16.10.2003	te (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year) 16.10.2002		
	ernational P 7D307/86	atent (Classification (IPC) or n	ational classification and	d IPC				
	olicant RRE FABF	RE ME	DICAMENT et al.						
1.	 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 								
2.	 This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets including this title page. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Instruction 607 of Administrative Instructions of the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of sheets. 								
3.	This repo	rt cont	ains indications relating	to the following items:					
	1	\boxtimes	Basis of the report						
	11		Priority	-					
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						nd industrial applicability			
	IV Lack of unity of invention								
	V Reasoned statement according to Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement								
	VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application								
	VIII Certain observations on the international application								
<u> </u>							·		
	Date of submission of the demand 17.05.2004				Date of con 26.08.2004		f this report		
Nar	ne and ma	iling a	address of the IPEA		Authorized	officer:			
_	European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0, Tx: 523 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			3656 epmu d	Boletti-Crer Telephone		9 2399-8541		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/FR 03/03053

l.	Bas	sis of the report							
1.	by rep	This report has been drawn up on the basis of the following elements (the replacement sheets received by the receiving office in response to an invitation according to Article 14 are considered in the present report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report as they contain no amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).):							
	Des	Description, pages:							
	1-3	0 as originally file	ed						
	Cla	ims, No.:							
	1-6	as originally file	ed						
2.	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under								
	The	ese elements were availat	ole or furnished to this Authority in the following language	which is:					
		the language of a transl	ation furnished for the purposes of international search (unde	r Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).							
		the language of the tran (under Rule 55.2 and/or	slation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary 55.3).	examination					
3.	l application, ng:								
		contained in the internat	tional application in written form.						
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.							
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.							
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.							
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.							
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.							
1 .	The	amendments have result	ted in the cancellation of:						
		the description,	pages:						
		the claims,	Nos.:						
		☐ the drawings,	sheets:						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/FR 03/03053

5.		This report has been written disregarding (some of) the amendments, which were considered as going beyond the description of the invention, as filed, as is indicated below (Rule 70.2(c)):						
		(All replacement sheets coattached to this report).	mprising ame	endments of	this nature shou	ıld be indicated ir	point 1 and	
6.	Add	litional observations, if neces	ssary:					
٧.		asoned statement under blicability; citations and exp					or industrial	
1.	Statement							
	1	Novelty	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-6			
	. 1	nventive Step	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-6			
	i	ndustrial Applicability	Yes:	Claims	1-6			

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

POINT V.

The following documents, cited in the International Search Report, were considered as being relevant for the examination of the present application. Their numbering will be retained for the remainder of the procedure:

- (1) WO-A-99 58527.
- (2) WO-A-00 58282, cited in the application
- (3) WO-A-99 51575, cited in the application
- (4a) JP-A-05 125024, cited in the application.

1. Novelty.

None of the compounds claimed, which are useful for the treatment of schizophrenia, is described in the documents above. The application as claimed is therefore novel with respect to the content of (1)-(4a).

2. Inventiveness

As was judiciously noted by the Applicant in the description of the application, (4a) represents the closest prior art.

In the light of the tables of (4a), it appears that the compounds claimed are not suggested in (4a) and that therefore the application as claimed can be considered as being inventive in relation to (4a).

3. Formal point

3.1 (1) should be cited and discussed in the description when the application reaches the regional phase.